

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/663,531	09/16/2003	Harry L. Tuller MIT9983 3582		3582
55740 75	590 08/01/2006		EXAM	INER
GAUTHIER & CONNORS, LLP			RICHARDS, N DREW	
225 FRANKLI BOSTON MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/663,531	TULLER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	N. Drew Richards	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-13,15-18 and 20 is/are pending in the second se	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 September 2003 is/3 Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/663,531 Page 2

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II, claims 11-20, in the reply filed on 10/27/04 is acknowledged.

Product-by-Process Limitations

2. While not objectionable, the Office reminds Applicant that "product by process" limitations in claims drawn to structure are directed to the product, per se, no matter how actually made. *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also, *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685; *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523; *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324; *In re Avery*, 186 USPQ 161; *In re Wethheim*, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); *In re Marosi et al.*, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or otherwise. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law makes clear. Thus, no patentable weight will be given to those process steps which do not add structural limitations to the final product.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,531 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 11-13, 15-18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sano et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,664,565 B1).

With regard to claim 11, Sano et al. disclose in figures 1-8 and on columns 1-10, for example, a wide band gap semiconductor device. Sano et al. disclose a device in figure 6 comprising:

a substrate /305301;

a n-type ZnO layer 311 formed directly on the substrate; and

a p-type ZnO layer 315 formed directly on the n-type ZnO layer.

Though Sano et al. do not explicitly state that the impurities are activated, it is nonetheless implicitly understood that the impurities are activated as the device of Sano et al. operates by using the function of the p-type and n-type dopants in the materials. With regard to the limitation of the layers being annealed in air. This limitation is a product-by-process limitation that does not necessarily structurally distinguish over the prior art. Thus, the structure of Sano et al. anticipates this claim.

With regard to claim 16, this claim is rejected similar to claim 11 above, but Sano et al. further disclose their device comprising a p-n junction.

With regards to claims 12, 13, 15, 17, 18 and 20, the limitations dealing with the reducing conditions and the intermediate temperatures are merely further defining the process portions of the product-by-process limitations of claims 11 and 16. These limitations are not considered to necessitate any further structure or to necessarily distinguish the structure claimed over the prior art. Sano et al. disclose the same final structure and thus anticipates the structure as claimed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments received 5/3/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant has argued that Sano et al. does not describe annealing the ZnO layers to activate the p-type conductivity. This is not persuasive. Even though Sano et al. does not explicitly teach an activating step, it is inherent that the p-type conductivity dopants are activated. If the dopants were not activated the p-type layer would not function as a p-type layer. For the layer to function as a p-type layer the dopants must necessarily be activated. Since the device of Sano et al. operates the dopants are "activated."

Applicant has also argued that Sano et al. teach the n-type layer being formed on a buffer layer and not on a substrate. This is not persuasive as the buffer layer 305 is considered part of the substrate. The term "substrate" is commonly used in the art to

Art Unit: 2815

signify the layer, layers or structure upon which the object layer is formed. In this case, the object layer is n-type layer 311 and thus the layer or layers upon which it is formed (specifically 305 and 301) is considered the substrate.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/663,531

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 6

N. DREW RICHARDS PRIMARY EXAMINER